Ophthalmologist

Modest monovision for balancing near and far vision

Cornea and OSD Tissue templating to meet the transplant demand

Cataract and Refractive Varied views on the latest

One in a million, Gladys Atto

12-13

25 – 28

31 – 35

50 - 51









78 The latest news, views and research – from the latest discovery of a new class of retinal cell, right to the chronicles of ophthalmology with our consultant historian ophthalmologist Andrzej Grzybowski





In My View

12 Closing the Distance
Graham Barrett explores modest
monovision as a strategy for
balancing near and far vision

Feature

14 Vision Research in the Spotlight
Meet The Association for Research
in Vision and Ophthalmology
(ARVO) leadership team, as they
talk about how the organization
has developed and overcome
challenges over recent years,
and the role it has played in
their careers

03 Editorial

If Not Science, Then What? by Maureen McCall

On The Cover



Spotlight on ARVO leadership People illustrations for cover feature sourced from shutterstock.com



Telemetry for Glaucoma Management During the Pandemic

Kaweh Mansouri shares the pros and cons of using telemedicine – including remote measurements – for glaucoma patients

In order to appropriately manage glaucoma, the clinician needs at least three parameters measured reliably: IOP measurement, the patient's visual field, and fundus exam in the form of OCT or fundus photography. None of these measurements can be taken easily and consistently at home. For IOP, there are home tonometry devices available, but they're not common and at least one third of patients has been shown to struggle to obtain reliable measurements despite previous training. There are sensors, such as Eyemate (Implandata, Germany) approved in Europe that can be implanted in the eye during cataract or glaucoma surgery, which stay in the eye for the patient's lifetime and measure the IOP at any given point. The implants are connected to an external device, which can transmit the results to the physician. These sensors came in very useful during the initial COVID-19-related lockdowns. Studies have shown that the data transmitted by the sensors proved useful for monitoring patients during the first lockdown when it was impossible for glaucoma specialists to see the patients (1). For those patients whose target IOP was as expected, this was reassuring news for the patient and the physician and avoided unnecessary visits, and in the few cases where the IOP measurements were higher than expected, additional medication was sent to the patients or they were invited in for surgery.

For one of these studies, we had access to 8415 IOP measurements from 370 measurement days of 24 patients. We looked at variations of IOP in a short-term period of three months, and a longer one of one year and beyond. We found that even during the short period, the IOP was only moderately reproducible, and in the longer term it wasn't reproducible at all the variation was huge. This shows that our current way of measuring IOP is insufficient. It is almost surprising that we manage our glaucoma patients as well as we do with such imperfect data, but think what we could do if we had access to other types of data, such as night-time measurements, data obtained during daily activities, and similar. The types of patients' daily activities and the way they impact on their IOP measurements should be taken into account for risk stratification, in a truly patient-centric model of glaucoma management.

As I mentioned, IOP is only one of

the three key elements of assessing glaucoma progression. I have now seen really interesting start-ups offering approaches to obtain visual field measurements and fundus photography or OCT at a patient's home. It seems like telemedicine, and telemetry in particular, has made huge advances over the past few months. These innovations can be extremely useful as we navigate managing our patients' glaucoma effectively in difficult circumstances, so I hope we can continue using them even when we are no longer in a pandemic.

Nevertheless, I don't think these technologies will replace office visits. In my opinion, there are three components that make face-to-face appointments unique. First, patients simply like to see their physician in person. There is an important relationship that develops with patients who suffer from a chronic disease such as glaucoma that is best cultivated in person. Second, there are some interventions, such as SLT, that can be done immediately, on the same visit, when the need arises. Third, inperson visits are hugely valuable for educating fellows and residents.

Reference

 K Mansouri et al., "Intraocular pressure telemetry for managing glaucoma during the COVID-19 pandemic," Ophthalmol Glaucoma, 4, 445 (2021). PMID: 33358988.



Kaweh Mansouri



patients who had missed their appointments and ran out of medication did not get in touch, and once they came in for a visit, I noticed that their disease progressed substantially. As a result, I started doing a lot more surgical interventions to make up for the time when glaucoma wasn't kept under control.

Now, I have even more appreciation for the importance of educating our patients about how vital appropriate monitoring of the condition is. One-on-one education with a patient is great, but it requires the patient to be in front of us. Another way is using online videos, such as my educational series. We should also be reaching out to patients via text or email to remind them of their appointments, as well as give them information about safe ways to visit the clinic. If they don't feel it is safe to come in, they should be offered a virtual visit. Patients have to be absolutely clear that refilling their medication should be their first priority, and it can be done with a simple phone call when they are close to running out.

Kaweh Mansouri, glaucoma specialist, Consultant Ophthalmologist at Montchoisi Clinique in Lausanne, Switzerland and Department of Ophthalmology, University of Colorado, Denver, USA

Various countries have had different strategies to cope with the COVID-19 pandemic as they have been affected differently. Of course, they also have a different density of ophthalmologists, and glaucoma specialists specifically. Switzerland has a high density of ophthalmologists, and we don't tend to rely on optometrists as heavily as ophthalmologists in some other countries, so we have not made much use of virtual clinics and asynchronous reviews. During the first lockdown, non-urgent glaucoma treatments were stopped - in our clinic, 95 percent of our glaucoma patients' care fell into this category. We asked patients not to come into the clinic for six to seven weeks, and then got to work on the backlog of cases. We extended our working hours and added Saturday clinics. After that, it was the "new normal" glaucoma management, which has meant that we see our elderly glaucoma patients more or less as often as we did before the pandemic began. It seems to me that visits take longer now, as patients have more trouble understanding what we are saying due to facemasks.

We have done more SLT procedures, as they don't require patients to be as dependent on medications, and we have done some virtual visits, which we had not done before, but – in my experience – they haven't amounted to more than 5 percent of all glaucoma appointments, and we only offer them to younger patients who have stable glaucoma.

To stratify risk properly, we need an objective and efficient AI system that will analyze centralized data – technology will help us greatly in the future. Innovative healthcare systems with digital centralized databases will get there quicker than others.

